

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/932,588	08/17/2001	James T. Panttaja	018167-003800US	5221		
6449	7590 08/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			LASTRA,	LASTRA, DANIEL		
1425 K STRI SUITE 800	EEI, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3622			
		DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	/			
Office Action Summary		09/932,5	88	PANTTAJA ET AL.				
		Examine	7	Art Unit				
		DANIEL	LASTRA	3622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	N. 1.136(a). In no ev reply within the state iod will apply and we atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from slication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commit (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 April 2002						
2a)□	<u> </u>							
3)	<i>'</i> —	osecution as to the mo	erits is					
٠,٣	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) l	pe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have bee ents have bee riority docum eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ige			
A440-b	A(a)							
Attachmen	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/09/02</u> .	08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 have been examined. Application 09/932,588 (Redemption System for Award Redemption) has a filing date 08/17/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelly et al (U.S. 6,015,344).

As per claim 1, Kelly teaches:

A method in a redemption system for determining which awards to redeem, the method comprising:

maintaining an award history database that includes award transaction information that describes awards earned by a consumer and, for each earned award, the type of award (see column 21, lines 60-63; figure 6b);

maintaining an encumbrance database that describes types of awards that cannot be redeemed at one or more suppliers (see column 5, lines 1-6; column 10, lines 64-67);

receiving a request to redeem an amount of the earned awards at a chosen supplier (see column 28, lines 39-64);

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588

Art Unit: 3622

determining allowed awards that can be redeemed with the chosen supplier (see column 28, lines 39-63);

determining encumbrance levels of the allowed awards based on the types of allowed awards and the data in the encumbrance database (see figures 6b and 8a); and determining which of the allowed awards to redeem based on the encumbrance levels (see figures 6b and 8a).

As per claim 2, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining which of the allowed awards to redeem is further based on expiration dates of the allowed awards (see column 53, lines 15-19).

As per claim 3, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein determining which of the allowed awards to redeem is further based on dates on which the allowed awards were earned (see column 11, lines 30-38).

As per claim 4, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award includes according to which promotion the award was earned (see column 11, lines 30-38).

As per claim 5, Kelly teaches:

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award includes from which business the award was earned (see column 11, lines 30-38).

As per claim 7, Kelly teaches:

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588

Art Unit: 3622

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award indicates a classification of the award (see figure 6b).

Claim 8 contains the same limitations as claims 2 and 3 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 9 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 10 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 11 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 12 contains the same limitations as claim 4 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 13 contains the same limitations as claim 5 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 15 contains the same limitations as claim 7 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 16 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 17 contains the same limitations as claims 2 and 3 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 18 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 19 contains the same limitations as claims 2 and 3 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 20 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim 21 contains the same limitations as claim 1 therefore the same rejection is applied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly et al (U.S. 6,015,344).

As per claim 6, Kelly does not expressly teach:

The method of claim 1 wherein the type of award indicates black-out dates on which the award cannot be redeemed. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that if the Kelly's award system indicates expiration dates after which the prize would not be redeemed, the Kelly's award system would also indicate black-out dates where prizes would also not be redeemed. This feature would be a business decision that would not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/932,588 Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

Claim 14 contains the same limitations as claim 6 therefore the same rejection is

applied.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

Ikeda teaches an online shopping mall point service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 703-306-

5933. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ERIC W STAMBER can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Lastra

July 22, 2004